(4)

CF 4002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 414 OF 2007

PETITIONERS :1) Satya Prakash Verma, S/o. Shri Kartik Ram Verma, aged about 42 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant Assistant Teacher at Govt. Primary School, Mudhena, Block & District Mahasamund R/o. Village Belsonda, Block & District Mahasamund (C.G.)

P.R. No. 402/07
Presented by M. P. Verma
dated 11/1/07

RECEIVED COPY
11/Jan/07

:2) Khemraj Dhruw, S/o. Shri Mohan Singh Dhruw, aged about 37 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant Assistant Teacher at Govt. Primary School, Kanpa, Block & District Mahasamund R/o. Near Jila Panchayat Karyalaya, Mahasamund (C.G.)

:3) Chandrashekhar Naik, S/o. Shri Deo Prasad Naik, aged about 40 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant, Assistant Teacher at Govt. Primary School, Rajasavaiyakhurd, Block Pithoura District Mahasamund R/o. Village Rajasavaiyakhurd, Block Pithoura District Mahasamund (C.G.)

:4) Poshan Kumar Gaharwar, S/o. Shri Beniram Gaharwar, aged about 37 years, Occupation- Govt. Servant, Assistant Teacher at Govt. Primary School, Nandgaon, Block and District Mahasamund R/o. Village Belsonda, Block and District Mahasamund (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) State of C.G. through Secretary Department of School Education, DKS Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.).
2) District Education Officer, Mahasamund C.G.)
3) Block Education Officer, Block Mahasamund and District Mahasamund (C.G.)
4) Block Education Officer, Block Pithoura Distt Mahasamund (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(53)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 414 of 2007

Petitioners : Satya Prakash Verma and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 429 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Vina Sahu and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Ms. Hamida Siddique, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents/ State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 23rd Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur